



Midpeninsula Regional  
Open Space District

R-17-119  
Meeting 17-28  
November 8, 2017

### AGENDA ITEM 3

#### AGENDA ITEM

Approval of Response to San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury Report dated June 19, 2017  
Regarding Website Transparency

#### GENERAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "G.M.", written over the printed text of the recommendation header.

Approve and authorize the President of the Board of Directors to execute the proposed response to the San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury Report of June 19, 2017 regarding special district website transparency.

#### SUMMARY

On June 19, 2017, the District received the attached report from the San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury entitled, "*Can We See You Now? San Mateo County's Independent Special Districts Website Transparency Update.*" The report was issued as a follow up to a 2013-14 report on the same topic of special district website transparency. Attached is a draft response to the Civil Grand Jury's findings and recommendations for approval by the Board of Directors.

#### DISCUSSION

The 2016-17 San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury issued a report on June 19, 2017 presenting an update to their 2013-14 investigation of the website transparency for 22 independent special districts in San Mateo County. In the 2013-14 report, the Civil Grand Jury made several recommendations for special districts to promote transparency and information access on their websites. The District is required to respond to the report indicating whether it agrees or disagrees with each of the findings, and whether it has implemented, will implement, will further analyze, or not implement each recommended action.

While the Civil Grand Jury investigation involved special district websites, each of the findings and recommendations relate to voluntary certification and recognition programs offered by the non-profit Special District Leadership Foundation (SDLF). The Civil Grand Jury found that since the 2013-14 report, several special districts in San Mateo County have chosen to participate in these voluntary programs, but no evidence is offered to suggest that participation in the programs is required to promote transparency. Nevertheless, the Civil Grand Jury recommends all special districts in San Mateo County to participate in the SDLF programs.

The Civil Grand Jury requests the District respond to recommendations R2 and R3. The District has already implemented recommendation R2 and employs a website administrator to maintain its robust and popular website. As the Board is aware, District staff and Board members have

completed the requirements for the SDLF Transparency Certificate of Excellence. While the District may in the future choose to participate in the voluntary SDLF *District of Distinction Designation* program, it has no obligation to do so.

As the governing body of the Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, the District's Board is required to submit the District's response. Staff prepared the attached response to be signed by the President of the Board of Directors and recommends Board approval. This response will then be forwarded to the Superior Court of San Mateo County as required by law.

**FISCAL IMPACT**

There is no fiscal impact associated with this recommended action.

**BOARD COMMITTEE REVIEW**

Board Committee review is not required for this item.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public notice was provided as required by the Brown Act.

**CEQA COMPLIANCE**

This item is not a project subject to the California Environmental Quality Act.

**NEXT STEPS**

If approved by the Board, the Board President will sign the attached letter to be forwarded to the San Mateo County Superior Court.

Attachments

1. San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury report entitled, "*Can We See You Now? San Mateo County's Independent Special Districts Website Transparency Update.*"
2. Proposed response letter from the District to the Civil Grand Jury.

Responsible Department Head:  
Steve Abbors, General Manager  
Sheryl Schaffner, General Counsel

Prepared by:  
Jennifer Woodworth, District Clerk/Assistant to the General Manager



## CAN WE SEE YOU NOW? San Mateo County's Independent Special Districts Website Transparency Update

### ISSUE

In 2014, the San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury issued a report concerning the lack of transparency in the websites of the 23 independent special districts operating within San Mateo County (County).<sup>1</sup> The jury at that time offered seven recommendations designed to improve transparency and achieve adherence to standards set forth by the California Special Districts Association (CSDA) and the Special District Leadership Foundation (SDLF). This report will discuss the progress made since 2014 by the County's independent special districts and serve as an update to the initial report.

### SUMMARY

In FY 2014-15, the 22 independent special districts<sup>2</sup> that currently serve San Mateo County provided various services for approximately 747,000 residents and received over \$107,000,000<sup>3</sup> in tax dollars. Special districts provide many essential services but, according to Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association,<sup>4</sup> residents often do not know who manages their districts, how funds are provided and allocated, or the full extent of the services provided by a district.<sup>5</sup>

Each of the 22 independent special districts in San Mateo County operates a website designed to provide pertinent information to its constituents.<sup>6</sup> Californians value the importance of transparency in government at all levels. Transparency in a governance context demands honesty, openness, and accountability for all functions and responsibilities. Designing and maintaining transparency on websites serves to inform the public as well as document effective use of their tax dollars.

The 2016-17 San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury (Grand Jury) reinvestigated the transparency of the County's 22 independent special district websites and determined that many districts have made overall, substantial improvement. About one-third of the County's independent special districts, however, still do not meet the minimum standards for transparency.

---

<sup>1</sup> 2013-14 San Mateo County Grand Jury. *Partly Cloudy With A Chance of Information: Investigating the Transparency of Independent Special District's Websites*. [www.sanmateocourt.org](http://www.sanmateocourt.org), Final Reports.

<sup>2</sup> Los Trancos Water District was dissolved in 2015, reducing the number of independent special districts from 23 to 22

<sup>3</sup> [www.sanmateocountytreasurer.org/PropTaxHighlights/PropertyTaxHighlights14-15.pdf](http://www.sanmateocountytreasurer.org/PropTaxHighlights/PropertyTaxHighlights14-15.pdf), 2017 Accessed 3/15/17

<sup>4</sup> [www.hjta.org](http://www.hjta.org), "California Special Districts: Hiding in Plain Site", 2016 Accessed 3/20/17

<sup>5</sup> [www.hjta.org/california-commentary/california-special-districts-hiding-in-plain-sight/](http://www.hjta.org/california-commentary/california-special-districts-hiding-in-plain-sight/) Accessed 3/20/17

<sup>6</sup> Appendix A

## BACKGROUND

Special districts have been a part of California’s landscape for over 130 years. They are a form of local government created by a community to meet a specific local need. A special district is a local governmental agency authorized by state law to provide governmental services such as sewer, water, fire protection, recreation, healthcare, police protection, mosquito and vector control, and other services. There are three main types of special districts: (1) county-governed special districts which are governed by a Board of Supervisors and operated by counties; (2) city-governed special districts that are governed by their respective city councils and operated by such cities; and (3) “independent special districts” that have their own governing boards which are either elected by the district’s voters or appointed by the various city councils. Special districts are defined as “any agency of the state for the local performance of governmental or proprietary functions within limited boundaries.”<sup>7</sup> Legislation has provided special districts with some of the basic powers afforded to counties and cities, including the power to impose certain taxes, issue revenue bonds, and levy fees and assessments.<sup>8</sup>

Currently, there are approximately 2,109 independent special districts in California.<sup>9</sup> San Mateo County has 22 independent special districts, which provide a variety of services to the residents of the county.<sup>10</sup>

The Special District Leadership Foundation (SDLF) is a nonprofit 501(3)(c) organization and an affiliate of the California Special Districts Association (CSDA). The SDLF’s mission is “to promote and recognize excellence in the governance and management of special districts.”<sup>11</sup>

The SDLF Board is made up of nine members: three directors appointed by the CSDA, three directors appointed by the Special District Risk Management Authority (SDRMA)<sup>12</sup> and three public members selected by majority vote of the designated directors. CSDA and SDRMA appoint their designated representatives to the SDLF Board every four years.<sup>13</sup>

The CSDA is also a nonprofit 501(3)(6) organization which brands itself “the voice for all special districts, providing members with the resources necessary to best serve their communities.”<sup>14</sup>

Both SDLF and CSDA provide advocacy, personal development, and training programs in special district governance, transparency, and excellence to the staffs of special districts throughout the state.

---

<sup>7</sup> California Government Code Section 16271(d), <http://law.onecle.com/california/government/16271.html> Accessed 5/16/17

<sup>8</sup> California Special Districts Association, [www.CSDA.org](http://www.CSDA.org). Accessed 3/20/17

<sup>9</sup> Ibid.

<sup>10</sup> Appendix A

<sup>11</sup> [info@sdlf.org](mailto:info@sdlf.org) Accessed 3/20/17

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.sdrma.org> Accessed 3/20/17

<sup>13</sup> <http://www.sdlf.org/board-and-staff> Accessed 3/20/17

<sup>14</sup> California Special Districts Association, [www.CSDA.org](http://www.CSDA.org) Accessed 3/20/17

The SDLF awards the District Transparency Certificate of Excellence to those independent special districts whose websites comply with a specific set of criteria. The Certificate is awarded for a two-year period after which a district must apply for recertification.

## **METHODOLOGY**

To maintain continuity, the Grand Jury reapplied the website transparency checklist created by the SDLF which was utilized by the 2013-14 Grand Jury in their evaluation. That checklist is divided into two tiers. To achieve the SDLF District Transparency Certificate of Excellence all of the following twelve items in Tier 1 must be easily accessible on the website as well as at least four of the items in Tier 2:

### Tier 1

- Names of board members and their terms of office
- Name of general manager and key staff along with contact information
- Election procedure and deadlines
- Board meeting schedule
- District's mission statement
- Description of district's services/functions and service area
- Authorizing statute
- Current district budget
- Most recent financial audit
- Archive of board meeting minutes for at least the past six months
- List of compensation of board members and staff and/or link to California state controller's webpage with the data

### Tier 2:

- Post board members' ethics training certificates
- Picture, biography and email address of board members
- Last three years' audits
- Reimbursement and compensation policy
- Financial reserves policy
- On line/downloadable Public Records Act Request form
- Audio or video recordings of board meetings
- Map of district boundaries/service area
- Link to California Special Districts Association mapping program
- Most recent Municipal Service Review and Sphere of Influence studies

Upon completion of the review of the websites in March 2017, the Grand Jury sent follow-up letters to those special districts whose sites lacked required Tier 1 components or whose websites lacked at least four components of Tier 2. All of these districts responded.

## DISCUSSION

The Grand Jury believes the transparency of a district's website influences the perception of the work performed by board members and employees of the County's independent special districts. Taxpayers are best served when they know who administers their independent special districts, from where the districts derive their funding, how the money is spent, how the actual work of the districts is conducted, and ultimately, how the districts impact them as citizens.

The 2013-14 Grand Jury found that no independent special district in the County had received the District Transparency Certificate of Excellence (DTCE).

The review by the 2016-17 Grand Jury of the County's independent special districts' websites reveals that the majority of independent special districts in the County have markedly improved their websites. As a result, the following six independent special districts have applied for and been awarded the DTCE since 2014:

Highlands Recreation District	2014-2016
North Coast County Water District	2014-2016
Peninsula Healthcare District	2015-2017
Coastside Fire Protection District	2016-2018
San Mateo County Mosquito and Vector Control District	2016-2018
Westborough Water District	2016-2018

Additionally, the Grand Jury's review of independent special district websites revealed that eight additional special districts have websites that would qualify for the DTCE but appear not to have applied for the certificate.<sup>15</sup> Those districts are:

Coastside County Water District  
Granada Community Services District  
Menlo Park Fire District  
Mid-Peninsula Regional Open Space  
Montara Water and Sanitary District  
Sequoia Healthcare District  
SMC Resource Conservation District  
West Bay Sanitary District

---

<sup>15</sup> [www.sdlf.org](http://www.sdlf.org), 4/19/17

In addition to the 14 special districts listed above, one other special district's website, Woodside Fire Protection District, fulfilled all of the first tier required components, bringing to 15 the number of independent special districts in the County that have fulfilled all of the first-tier required components.

The SDLF also awards independent special districts the District of Distinction Accreditation for those districts that demonstrate prudent fiscal practices along with other areas important to effectively operate and govern a special district. The 2013-14 Grand Jury found that no district had achieved, applied for or been awarded the District of Distinction Certificate. However, during the past three years three independent special districts in San Mateo County applied for and received this distinction: Coastside Fire Protection District, North Coast County Water District, and San Mateo County Mosquito and Vector Control District.<sup>16</sup>

All of these districts deserve positive recognition for their hard work achieving much needed transparency for their constituents through district websites.

Seven independent special districts, however, currently have not met an acceptable level of transparency.

The San Mateo County Harbor District's website lacks only one component to complete Tier 1, a description of their election process. The district's website currently provides a link to the San Mateo County Elections website, but the District's website lacks any detail regarding the District's internal procedure for elections.<sup>17</sup>

The following independent special districts require components in both tier 1 and/or tier 2 to achieve the SDLF's District Transparency Certificate of Excellence:<sup>18</sup>

- Bayshore Sanitary District
- Broadmoor Police Protection District
- Colma Fire Protection District
- East Palo Alto Sanitary District
- Ladera Recreation District
- Mid-Peninsula Water District
- San Mateo County Harbor District
- Woodside Fire Protection District

---

<sup>16</sup> [www.sdlf.org](http://www.sdlf.org), 4/19/17

<sup>17</sup> [www.smharbor.com](http://www.smharbor.com), 5/16/17

<sup>18</sup> See Appendix C

## FINDINGS

F1. Six independent special districts have completed the requirements and been awarded the *District Transparency Certificate of Excellence* designation from the Special District Leadership Foundation over the past three years.

- . Coastside Fire Protection District
- . Highlands Recreation District
- . North Coast County Water District
- . Peninsula Healthcare District
- . San Mateo County Mosquito and Vector Control District
- . Westborough Water District

F2. Eight additional independent special districts have completed the requirements and been awarded the *District Transparency Certificate of Excellence*.

- . Coastside County Water District
- . Granada Community Services District
- . Menlo Park Fire District
- . Mid-Peninsula Regional Open Space District
- . Montara Water and Sanitary District
- . San Mateo Resource Conservation District
- . Sequoia Healthcare District
- . West Bay Sanitary District

F3. Three independent special districts have achieved the *District of Distinction Designation* from the Special District Leadership Foundation over the past three years.

- . Coastside Fire Protection District
- . North Coast County Water District
- . San Mateo County Mosquito and Vector Control District

F4. Fifteen independent special districts have websites meeting the first tier of required components.

- . Coastside County Water District
- . Coastside Fire Protection District
- . Granada Community Services District
- . Highlands Recreation District
- . Menlo Park Fire District
- . Mid-Peninsula Regional Open Space District



- . Montara Water and Sanitary District
  - . Northcoast County Water District
  - . Peninsula Healthcare District
  - . San Mateo County Mosquito and Vector Control District
  - . San Mateo County Resource Conservation District
  - . Sequoia Healthcare District
  - . West Bay Sanitary District
  - . Westborough Water District
  - . Woodside Fire Protection District
- F5. Eight independent special districts websites have not met the Special District Leadership Foundation’s minimum requirements.
- . Bayshore Sanitary District
  - . Broadmoor Police Protection District
  - . Colma Fire Protection District
  - . East Palo Alto Sanitary District
  - . Ladera Recreation District
  - . Mid-Peninsula Water District
  - . San Mateo County Harbor District
  - . Woodside Fire Protection District

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- R1. The eight independent special districts’ websites that do not conform to the current standards set by the Special District Leadership Foundation’s transparency checklist shall conform to the accepted criteria on or before December 31, 2017.
- R2. All independent special districts shall take the necessary steps to maintain their websites using the current standards set by the Special District Leadership Foundation so as to provide pertinent information to their constituents and to continue to qualify for the *District Transparency Certificate of Excellence*.
- R3. The eight independent special districts that qualify for the *District Transparency Certificate of Excellence* are strongly encouraged to apply for it to be recognized for their efforts.

## **REQUEST FOR RESPONSES**

From the following governing bodies:

### Recommendation 1

Bayshore Sanitary District  
Broadmoor Police Protection District  
Colma Fire Protection District  
East Palo Alto Sanitary District  
Ladera Recreation District  
Mid-Peninsula Water District  
San Mateo County Harbor District  
Woodside Fire Protection District

### Recommendation 2

All independent special districts in San Mateo County

### Recommendation 3

Coastside County Water District  
Granada Community Services District  
Menlo Park Fire District  
Mid-Peninsula Regional Open Space  
Montara Water and Sanitary District  
Sequoia Healthcare District  
SMC Resource Conservation District  
West Bay Sanitary District

The governing bodies indicated above should be aware that the comment or response of the governing body must be conducted subject to the notice, agenda and open meeting requirements of the Brown Act.

## APPENDIX A INDEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT WEBSITES

<b>Independent Special District</b>	<b>Website</b>
Bayshore Sanitary District	<a href="http://www.bayshoresanitary.org/">http://www.bayshoresanitary.org/</a>
Broadmoor Police Protection District	<a href="http://www.broadmoorpolice.com/">http://www.broadmoorpolice.com/</a>
Coastside County Water District	<a href="http://coastsidewater.org/">http://coastsidewater.org/</a>
Coastside Fire Protection District	<a href="http://www.coastsidefire.org/">http://www.coastsidefire.org/</a>
Colma Fire Protection District	<a href="http://www.colmafd.org/home.html">http://www.colmafd.org/home.html</a>
East Palo Alto Sanitary District	<a href="http://www.epasd.com/">http://www.epasd.com/</a>
Granada Community Services District	<a href="http://www.granada.ca.gov/">http://www.granada.ca.gov/</a>
Highlands Recreation District	<a href="http://www.highlandsrec.ca.gov/">http://www.highlandsrec.ca.gov/</a>
Ladera Recreation District	<a href="http://www.lrdrec.com/">http://www.lrdrec.com/</a>
Menlo Park Fire Protection District	<a href="http://www.menlofire.org">http://www.menlofire.org</a>
Mid-Peninsula Water District	<a href="https://www.midpeninsulawater.org/index.php">https://www.midpeninsulawater.org/index.php</a>
Mid-peninsula Regional Open Space District	<a href="http://openspace.org/">http://openspace.org/</a>
Montara Water and Sanitary District	<a href="http://mwsd.montara.org/">http://mwsd.montara.org/</a>
North Coast County Water District	<a href="http://www.nccwd.com/">http://www.nccwd.com/</a>
Peninsula Health Care District	<a href="http://www.peninsulahealthcaredistrict.org">http://www.peninsulahealthcaredistrict.org</a>
San Mateo County Harbor District	<a href="http://www.smharbor.com/harbordistrict/">http://www.smharbor.com/harbordistrict/</a>
SMC Mosquito and Vector Control District	<a href="http://www.smcmvcd.org/">http://www.smcmvcd.org/</a>
San Mateo Resource Conservation District	<a href="http://www.sanmateorcd.org/">http://www.sanmateorcd.org/</a>
Sequoia Healthcare District	<a href="http://www.sequoiahealthcaredistrict.com/">http://www.sequoiahealthcaredistrict.com/</a>
West Bay Sanitary District	<a href="http://westbaysanitary.org/">http://westbaysanitary.org/</a>
Westborough Water District	<a href="Http://www.westboroughwater.com/">Http://www.westboroughwater.com/</a>
Woodside Fire Protection District	<a href="http://www.woodsidefire.org/">http://www.woodsidefire.org/</a>



## District Transparency Certificate of Excellence *checklist*

Showcase your district's commitment to transparency

### BASIC REQUIREMENTS

- Current Ethics Training for all Board Members** (Government Code Section 53235)
  - Provide copies of training certificates along with date completed
- Compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act** (Government Code Section 54950 et. al.)
  - Provide copy of current policy related to Brown Act compliance
  - Provide copy of a current meeting agenda (including opportunity for public comment)
- Adoption of policy related to handling Public Records Act requests**
  - Provide copy of current policy
- Adoption of Reimbursement Policy, if district provides any reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses** (Government Code Section 53232.2 (b))
  - Provide copy of current policy
- Annual disclosure of board member or employee reimbursements for individual charges over \$100 for services or products. This information is to be made available for public inspection. "Individual charge" includes, but is not limited to: one meal, lodging for one day, or transportation.** (Government Code Section 53065.5)
  - Provide copy of the most recent document and how it is accessible
- Timely filing of State Controller's Special Districts Financial Transactions Report - includes compensation disclosure.** (Government Code Section 53891)
  - Provide copy of most recent filing  
*SDLF staff will verify that district is not listed on the State Controller's 'non-compliance list'*
- Conduct Annual Audits** (Government Code Section 26909 and 12410.6)
  - Provide copy of most recent audit and management letter and a description of how/where documents were made available to the public
- Other Policies - have current policies addressing the following areas (provide copies of each):**
  - Conflict of Interest
  - Code of Ethics/Values/Norms or Board Conduct
  - Financial Reserves Policy

### WEBSITE REQUIREMENTS

- Maintain a district website with the following items** (provide website link; all are required)
  - Names of Board Members and their terms of office
  - Name of general manager and key staff along with contact information
  - Election procedure and deadlines
  - Board meeting schedule (Regular meeting agendas must be posted 72 hours in advance pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 (a) (1) and Government Code Section 54956 (a))
  - District's mission statement
  - Description of district's services/ functions and service area
  - Authorizing statute/ enabling act (Principle Act or Special Act)
  - Current district budget
  - Most recent financial audit
  - Archive of Board meeting minutes for at least the last 6 months
  - List of compensation of Board Members and staff and/or link to State Controller's webpage with the data
- Website also must include at least 4 of the following items:**
  - Post Board Member ethics training certificates
  - Picture, biography and email address of board members
  - Last 3 years of audits
  - Reimbursement and Compensation Policy
  - Financial Reserves Policy
  - Online/downloadable Public Records Act request form
  - Audio or video recordings of board meetings
  - Map of district boundaries/ service area
  - Link to California Special Districts Association mapping program
  - Most recent Municipal Service Review (MSR) and Sphere of Influence (SOI) studies (full document or link to document on another site)

Continued on reverse



Questions about SDLF or the transparency program? Call us for more information at 916.231.2939

## APPENDIX C TRANSPARENCY CHECKLIST

Agency	Ranking														Total										
		1	1A	1B	1C	1D	1E	1F	1G	1H	1I	1J	1K	2		2A	2B	2C	2D	2E	2F	2G	2H	2I	2J
Highlands Recreation District	1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				Y	
Mid-Peninsula Regional Open Space Dist.	1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y		
Peninsula Health Care District	1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y		Y	Y			Y		Y		
Westborough Water District	1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y	Y		Y	Y				Y		
Coastside County Water District	2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
SMC Mosquito and Vector Control Dist.	2	Y	Y	Y	n/a	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	
Granada Community Services District	2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y	Y		Y					Y	Y	
North Coast County Water District	2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y		Y	Y		Y			Y		
Coastside Fire Protection District	2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		
West Bay Sanitary District	2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	N		Y	Y			Y	Y			
Menlo Park Fire Protection District	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Montara Water and Sanitary District	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	
Mid-Peninsula Water District	4	N	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	9	Y	Y	Y	Y					Y		
SMC Resource Conservation District	4	Y	Y	Y	n/a	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n/a	11	Y		Y	Y	Y				Y	Y	
SMC Harbor District	4	N	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	10	Y		Y	Y		Y		Y	Y	Y	
Sequoia Healthcare District	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	Y		Y		Y	Y			Y	n/a n/a	
Bayshore Sanitary District	5	N	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	9	N	Y		Y					Y		
Broadmoor Police Protection District	6	N	Y	n/a	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	10	N		Y								
Ladera Recreation district	6	N	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	9	N					Y				Y	
Woodside Fire Protection District	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11	N			Y	Y						
East Palo Alto Sanitary District	7	N	Y			Y	Y			Y	Y	Y		6	Y	Y	Y	Y					Y		
Colma Fire Protection District	8	N					Y	Y						2	N										

Issued: June 19, 2017



Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District

 GENERAL MANAGER  
 Stephen E. Abbors

 BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
 Pete Siemens  
 Yoriko Kishimoto  
 Jed Cyr  
 Curt Riffle  
 Nonette Hanko  
 Larry Hassett  
 Cecily Harris

November 8, 2017

Hon. Leland Davis, III  
 Judge of the Superior Court  
 c/o Charlene Kresevich  
 Hall of Justice  
 400 County Center, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
 Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Dear Judge Davis,

We are in receipt of the Civil Grand Jury report entitled, "*Can We See You Now? San Mateo County's Independent Special Districts Website Transparency Update.*" Pursuant to your request for response, the Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District's (District) Board of Directors held a public meeting on November 8, 2017 and approved this response. The District responds to the Grand Jury's recommendations, as requested, as follows:

### Recommendations

*R1. The eight independent special districts' websites that do not conform to the current standards set by the Special District Leadership Foundation's transparency checklist shall conform to the accepted criteria on or before December 31, 2017.*

The District has not been requested to respond to this recommendation.

*R2. All independent special districts shall take the necessary steps to maintain their websites using the current standards set by the Special District Leadership Foundation so as to provide pertinent information to their constituents and to continue to qualify for the District Transparency Certificate of Excellence.*

This recommendation was implemented prior to the issuance of the Grand Jury report. The District employs a full time website administrator who maintains and updates the website regularly.

*R3. The eight independent special districts that qualify for the District Transparency Certificate of Excellence are strongly encouraged to apply for it to be recognized for their efforts.*

The District asserts it is in full compliance with all applicable laws and regulations related to its operations and transparency efforts. The District also maintains its website to meet the requirements of the Special District Leadership Foundation's *District Transparency Certificate*

Hon. Leland Davis, III

Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District Response to 2016-17 San Mateo Civil Grand Jury Report “*Can We See You Now? San Mateo County’s Independent Special Districts Website Transparency Update*”

November 8, 2017

Page 2 of 2

*of Excellence* and will continue to maintain and update its website on a regular basis to promote transparency and public understanding of the District and its activities.

Very truly yours,

Larry Hassett, Board President  
Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District

Cc: Board of Directors, Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District