AGENDA ITEM

Options for the Analysis of Class 1 and 2 Electric Bicycle Use on Paved Trails and Class 1 Electric Bicycle Use on Unpaved Trails

GENERAL MANAGER’S RECOMMENDATIONS

Choose and/or amend one of three options on how the Midpeninsular Regional Open Space District shall proceed with analyzing electric bicycle (e-bike) use on paved and unpaved trails:

1. Proceed with the current plan to complete a one-year Paved Pilot Program for paved trails at Ravenswood Open Space Preserve and Rancho San Antonio Open Space Preserve and County Park, and to develop a one-year Unpaved Pilot Program for class 1 e-bikes for future Board of Directors consideration in early 2022.

2. Reduce the scale of the Paved Pilot Program to release staff capacity for expediting a one-year Unpaved Pilot Program on District lands (expedites by six or more months).

3. Reduce the scale of the Paved Pilot Program to release staff capacity for partnering with a local agency to conduct intercept surveys and complete observation reports on trails that are a good proxy for District trails where e-bikes are already permitted. Present findings for Board consideration on next steps for e-bike use on District lands (depending on results, may expedite by close to one year).

SUMMARY

Over the last few years, public interest in e-bikes for transportation and recreation has grown steadily across the country. This trend has been reflected locally by increased use on public roadways and acceptance by many Federal, state and local land management agencies of e-bikes on bicycle trails and pathways. Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (District) rangers have also reported a rise in illicit e-bike use on District preserves.

During its regular meeting on August 12, 2020, the Board of Directors (Board) approved a Paved Pilot Program to evaluate select paved and improved trails at Ravenswood Preserve and Rancho San Antonio Preserve and County Park for use by class 1 and 2 e-bikes (R-20-89). The Board also directed the General Manager to return with recommendations for an Unpaved Pilot Program for class 1 e-bikes. The Paved Pilot Program began on December 10, 2020.

In response to recent Board discussions on the timing for initiating an Unpaved Pilot Program/Study, the General Manager is presenting three options that reflect various deployments of staff resources given limited staff capacities to seek Board direction on how best to proceed.
with analyzing the potential effects of e-bike use on the visitor experience, trail maintenance and enforcement, and natural resources for paved and unpaved trails.

DISCUSSION

During the first of two annual Board retreats on December 7, 2020, multiple Board members expressed an interest in accelerating the timeline for review of e-bike access on District lands, while other Board members expressed that the current schedule was appropriate. The current timeline as laid out in the Update on the E-bike Pilot FYI provided on December 9, 2020 (Attachment 1) is based on a robust pilot program to evaluate class 1 and 2 e-bike use on paved trails and Board direction during the fiscal year 2020-21 (FY21) budget and action plan development to defer consideration of class 1 e-bike access on unpaved trails until FY22.

Considering recent Board discussions on the timing of the pilot programs, the General Manager is returning to the Board with the following three implementation options to gain clear direction on how best to proceed (See also the Gantt Chart in Attachment 2).

Option 1 – Proceed with current plan to complete a one-year Paved Pilot Program for paved trails and develop a one-year Unpaved Pilot Program for class 1 e-bikes for Board consideration in early 2022, with pilot anticipated to begin in February 2022. This process (described in detail in Attachment 1) provides the most robust analysis of the Paved Pilot Program.

The timing is as follows:

- The Paved Pilot Program runs for one year, from December 2020 to December 2021. Analysis of findings and recommendations would be presented to the Board in early 2022 to confirm whether to formalize e-bike use on the paved/improved trails.
- Planning and design for an Unpaved Pilot Program would begin in early FY22 with the start of the pilot projected for February 2022 after review and approval by PNR and the Board. The Unpaved Pilot Program would run for one year, with the findings and recommendations coming to the Board in late Spring 2023 to confirm whether to formalize e-bike use on unpaved bicycle trails.

Option 2 – Scale down the Paved Pilot Program and initiate an Unpaved Pilot Program on District lands by Summer/Fall of 2021. This option provides less analysis of the Paved Pilot Program to release staff capacity for initiating the development of an Unpaved Pilot Program sooner. Staff would bring a proposed one-year Unpaved Pilot Program to the full Board in Summer of 2021 for approval, with findings to inform a Board decision on e-bike use of unpaved bicycle trails by Fall of 2022. Details are provided below:

- The Paved Pilot Program would be reduced by removing the intercept surveys, observation reports, and speed surveys. Online feedback on the Paved Pilot Program would still be captured through the District website. Staff would analyze and present findings related to use, complaints, enforcement, accidents, and before/after observations to the Board in early 2022 to confirm whether to formalize e-bike use on the paved/improved trails.
• Staff would begin work on the details for an Unpaved Pilot Program in February 2021. Staff would present a proposal to the Planning and Natural Resources Committee (PNR) in July/August of 2021, and then forward PNR recommendations to the full Board by Fall 2021.

• The Unpaved Pilot Program would begin as early as late Fall 2021 and proceed for one year with a Board decision on whether to formalize e-bike use on unpaved bicycle trails in late Fall of 2022.

Option 3 – Scale down the Paved Pilot Program and initiate an Unpaved Pilot Program on Partner lands by Summer/Fall of 2021. This option provides less analysis of the Paved Pilot Program to release staff capacity for initiating a study of unpaved e-bike access on current e-bike trails of partner lands that serve as a proxy to District lands and unpaved bicycle trails. This option may provide the most robust information prior to Board consideration of an Unpaved Pilot Project by evaluating trails where e-bike use is already well-established.

• The Paved Pilot Program would be reduced by removing the intercept surveys, observation reports, and speed surveys. Online feedback on the Paved Pilot Program would still be captured through the District website. Staff would analyze and present findings to the Board in early 2022 related to use, complaints, enforcement, accidents, and before/after observations to confirm whether to formalize e-bike use on the paved/improved trails.

• Staff would pursue a partnership with a local park/land management agency to conduct a short-term study (intercept surveys and observation reports) on the partner agency’s unpaved trails where e-bikes are already permitted during the summer/fall of 2021.

• Staff would present the results of the short-term study to PNR in early 2022 and then forward PNR recommendations to the full Board. Depending on the findings, the Board may consider three options:
  i. Determine that the surveys are sufficient to decide whether to allow class 1 e-bikes on unpaved District trails.
     ▪ Final Board decision would occur in Spring 2022.
  ii. Direct staff to continue the partnership study for a full year to include winter and spring use levels and effects.
     ▪ Final Board decision would occur in early 2023.
  iii. Direct staff to implement an Unpaved Pilot Program on select District Preserves to evaluate effects on District lands.
     ▪ Final Board decision would occur in Spring/Summer of 2023.

FISCAL IMPACT

There are sufficient funds in the FY21 Visitor Services operating Budget to cover the cost of the recommendations during this fiscal year. Funds will be recommended in future fiscal year budgets as a part of the annual Budget and Action Plan process to cover future fiscal year needs.

BOARD COMMITTEE REVIEW

A presentation was made to the Board of Directors (R-19-155) on November 20, 2019 to consider options for allowing e-bikes on District Lands. The Board directed the General Manager
to return with an evaluation and process to implement a phased one-year pilot program for e-bikes on specific paved (class 1 and 2 e-bikes) and unpaved trails (class 1 e-bikes) to evaluate potential differences in enforcement, use, visitor experience, and impacts to natural resources. Under this direction a project was created for FY21. As the FY21 budget recommendations were being finalized, the COVID pandemic struck and action plan adjustments were made, including deferring the e-bike project, to reduce the total budget and account for impacts to staff capacity. During the budget hearing on June 10, 2020, the Board expressed concerns about deferring the e-bike project entirely and directed staff to modify the project scope for FY21 to specifically focus on e-bike access on District paved trails and defer the evaluation of e-bike access on unpaved trails to FY22.

The FY21 project scope was finalized by the Board during the Board meeting on June 24, 2020 to “explore pilot program for e-bike access on District paved trails.”

During the December 7, 2020 Board retreat meeting, while discussing potential focus areas for FY22, multiple Board members expressed concern with the timing of the two pilot programs and asked staff to return with options to expedite the Unpaved Pilot Program.

An FYI on the Paved Pilot Program implementation was provided to the Board on December 9, 2020.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public notice was provided as required by the Brown Act.

CEQA COMPLIANCE

The recommended actions to establish limited term pilot programs for class 1 and 2 e-bike use on specific paved and class 1 e-bike use on unpaved trails, roadways and service roads, where bicycles are currently allowed, in designated preserves is categorically exempt from CEQA as follows:

CEQA Guidelines section 15301. EXISTING FACILITIES
CEQA exempts the operation or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, or topographical features, which involve negligible or no expansion of existing or former use. The use of e-bikes on paved trails where bicycles are already allowed represents a negligible expansion of use of existing trails.

CEQA Guidelines section 15304. MINOR ALTERATIONS TO LAND
CEQA exempts minor alterations in the condition of land which do not involve removal of healthy, mature, scenic trees and have negligible or no permanent effects on the environment. As stated herein, the limited duration of e-bike use on trails where bicycles are already allowed will have a negligible effect on the environment.

CEQA Guidelines section 15311. ACCESSORY STRUCTURES
CEQA exempts construction, or placement of minor structures accessory to (appurtenant to) existing facilities, such as on-premise signs. As described in this report, signs may be installed
or altered in order to notify preserve users of the changes to the trail designation allowing e-bikes during a pilot program.

CEQA Guidelines section 15306. INFORMATION COLLECTION
CEQA exempts information gathering activities or actions that are part of a study leading to an action which the agency has not yet adopted, which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource. Changing the trail designation of certain trails to allow e-bikes during a pilot program will allow the District to collect information about e-bike use in certain areas. This information will inform future policy decisions about e-bike use on paved and unpaved trails.

NEXT STEPS

Pending Board direction, staff will implement the option selected by the Board.

Attachments:
1. FYI Memo, December 9, 2020 – Update on the Electric Bicycle Pilot Implementation at Rancho San Antonio and Ravenswood Preserves
2. E-bike Pilot Program Timeline Gantt Chart
3. Basic Policy

Responsible Department Head:
Matt Anderson, Chief Ranger and Manager for Visitor Services Department

Prepared by:
Matt Anderson, Chief Ranger and Manager for Visitor Services Department
DATE: December 9, 2020

MEMO TO: Board of Directors

THROUGH: Ana Ruiz, General Manager

FROM: Matt Anderson, Chief Ranger

SUBJECT: Update on the Electric Bicycle Pilot Implementation at Rancho San Antonio and Ravenswood Preserves

During its regular meeting on August 12, 2020, the Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (District) Board of Directors (Board) approved a one-year pilot program (Pilot Program) to evaluate select paved and improved trails at Ravenswood Preserve and Rancho San Antonio Preserve and County Park where bicycles are currently allowed for use by class 1 and 2 electric bicycles (e-bikes). (R-20-89).

During the Pilot Program, visitors will be allowed to use e-bikes on the designated trails in Ravenswood and Rancho San Antonio. The Pilot Program has two primary components: public outreach and monitoring. The outreach component focuses on informing the public about the trial nature of the pilot through onsite signage and website information. The monitoring component will include intercept surveys and data collection related to compliance, accidents, trail conditions, and visitor experience/complaints. Staff will launch the Pilot Program on December 15, 2020 and run the Pilot Program through December 15, 2021.

**Pilot Scope**
- Collect data over a 1-year period at Rancho San Antonio Preserve and County Park on paved and improved trails and at Ravenswood Preserve along the Bay Trail to assess public opinions and perceptions, impacts to trail user experience and safety, facility impacts, compliance and enforcement, and level of use.
- Determine approximate counts of e-bike users.
- Gather public input and document visitor trail experiences.
- Gather data on trail use violations and incidents.
- Document trail conditions pre and post Pilot Program
- Analyze data and develop findings.
- Present findings to the Board for next steps and policy direction.

**Methodology**
- Intercept Surveys

Trained seasonal staff and volunteers will conduct intercept surveys Monday through Sunday at variable times. Surveys will be conducted for sixteen weeks, four weeks within each quarter (season). Staff anticipate doing a total of 112 survey sessions per preserve, with each session lasting approximately four hours. Visitors contacted for the intercept survey who wish to take the survey online at a later time will be able to do so if they provide an email for follow up. The
surveys will document the visitor experience, including any trail use conflicts and ask respondents about their level of support or opposition to e-bike use. Survey staff and volunteers will contact visitors hiking, running, horseback riding (at Rancho), and riding analog bicycles and e-bikes. They will maintain a neutral position towards the use of e-bikes.

- **Observation Reports**
  During each of the intercept survey sessions, one of the trained seasonal staff or volunteers will make observational reports of all visitor use by activity type. These reports will be used to provide an overall view of the percentage of trail use by activity.

- **Speed Observations**
  Rangers will measure bicycle speeds of analog bicycles and e-bikes with radar units during each survey week. They will conduct approximately four hours of speed observations per survey week in each preserve.

- **Trail Assessments**
  Land and Facilities staff have completed pre-pilot trail assessments of all designated trails in the pilot program. Post-pilot assessments will be done in December 2021. The assessments include pictures of the trail every 500 feet, a general assessment of trail condition and of trail use impact/compaction adjacent to the trail.

- **Incidents and citations**
  A comparison will be made of all reportable incidents, accidents, enforcement activity, and public comments received during the pilot period and over the last three years for each study area.

**Public Notice**
Staff will provide public notice of the pilot through:

- The District website and social media.

- Signs posted in each preserve at strategic locations. Signs will make visitors aware that the pilot is underway, that it is a trial program, include a map of designated trails and provide a web link for more information.

- Interested parties list. Emails have been sent to 119 individuals who have corresponded with us over the last two years regarding e-bikes, e-bike access and District e-bike policies. The email advised of the launch of the Pilot Project in December 2020 and provided links to District web information on e-bike access and regulations.

After completion of the Pilot Program staff will summarize the results of the Pilot Project in early 2022 and present to the Board for review. At that time, the Board will determine whether to designate the trails included in the Pilot Project for e-bike use or discontinue e-bike use in these preserves.

This Pilot Program is not intended to provide the data necessary for the Board to determine whether to allow e-bike access on typical *unimproved* preserve trails. If adopted as part of the FY22 action plan and budget recommendations, staff will do separate research and outreach on potential e-bike impacts for use on *unimproved* trails that make up the vast majority of District trails. This information will be presented to the Board for consideration in spring of 2022.

###
### OPTION 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Robust Paved Pilot Study</th>
<th>Unpaved Trails Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept surveys, onsite monitoring, online surveys, review of citations/complaints, etc., and comparison of before and after effects to trail maintenance/resources</td>
<td>Present findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Unpaved Trails Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNR Review</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td>Present Findings to Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for Board mtg</td>
<td>Conduct Study</td>
<td>Present Findings to Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Findings to PNR</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td>Decision Point B:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of citations/complaints and comparison of before and after effects to trail maintenance/resources</td>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
<td>a) Data is sufficient to make decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Study on Partner Lands</td>
<td>Assess Results</td>
<td>b) Expand study to include Winter and Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Unpaved Trails Study and Enter into Agreement with Partner Agency</td>
<td>Present Findings to PNR</td>
<td>c) Conduct separate pilot on District lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Unpaved Trails Study</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OPTION 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scaled Down Paved Pilot Study</th>
<th>Unpaved Trails Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review of citations/complaints and comparison of before and after effects to trail maintenance/resources</td>
<td>Present findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Unpaved Trails Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNR Review</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td>Present Findings to Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for Board mtg</td>
<td>Conduct Study</td>
<td>Present Findings to Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Findings to PNR</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td>Decision Point B:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of citations/complaints and comparison of before and after effects to trail maintenance/resources</td>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
<td>a) Data is sufficient to make decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Study on Partner Lands</td>
<td>Assess Results</td>
<td>b) Expand study to include Winter and Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Unpaved Trails Study and Enter into Agreement with Partner Agency</td>
<td>Present Findings to PNR</td>
<td>c) Conduct separate pilot on District lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Unpaved Trails Study</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OPTION 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scaled Down Paved Pilot Study</th>
<th>Unpaved Trails Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review of citations/complaints, etc and comparison of before and after effects to trail maintenance/resources</td>
<td>Present findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Unpaved Trails Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNR Review</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td>Present Findings to Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for Board mtg</td>
<td>Conduct Study</td>
<td>Present Findings to Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Findings to PNR</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td>Decision Point B:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of citations/complaints, etc and comparison of before and after effects to trail maintenance/resources</td>
<td>Present Findings to the Board; Board decision on paved trails</td>
<td>a) Data is sufficient to make decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Study on Partner Lands</td>
<td>Assess Results</td>
<td>b) Expand study to include Winter and Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Unpaved Trails Study and Enter into Agreement with Partner Agency</td>
<td>Present Findings to PNR</td>
<td>c) Conduct separate pilot on District lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Unpaved Trails Study</td>
<td>Present PNR Recomms to Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adopted by the Board of Directors on March 10, 1999

INTRODUCTION

...to try to save for everyone, for the hostile and indifferent as well as the conscientious, some of the health that flows down across the green ridges from the sky, the air and water of the valley and the refreshment of sport that are still available to any resident of the valley who has a moment, and the will, to lift up his eyes onto the hills.”

- Wallace Stegner

MISSION STATEMENT

The District’s mission is:

To acquire and preserve a regional greenbelt of open space land in perpetuity, protect and restore the natural environment, and provide opportunities for ecologically sensitive public enjoyment and education.

OBJECTIVES

1. Open Space Land Preservation: The District seeks to purchase or otherwise acquire interest in the maximum feasible area of strategic open space land within the District. Areas sought consist of lands and foothills. The District seeks to link its open space lands with federal, state, county, and city parks and watersheds and land. Policies

District Purpose

a. As an open space agency, the District’s primary purpose is to preserve open space. Development of traditional park and recreation facilities is the responsibility of the cities and counties.

Strategic Emphasis

b. The District uses its available resources primarily to acquire or otherwise preserve land outside the Urban Service Area boundaries of cities that has significantly open space value and that might be lost to development if the District fails to act.

The District’s goal is to acquire lands within its own boundaries and spheres of influence. Acquisitions outside the District’s boundaries will be considered only if exceptional purchase opportunities arise that clearly support the District’s mission.

Open Space Acquisition

c. The District acquires land most often through fee simple interest (outright ownership). Options and installment purchases may be employed to this end.

d. To conserve funding for preservation, the District may seek to preserve open space without outright ownership of the land. The District may act as a land bank through acquisition of less than fee interest to accomplish the same results with less immediate expenditures. The District’s examples include acquisition of a remainder interest following a life tenancy; open space, conservation, or scenic easements, and purchase and leaseback arrangements. The District actively strives to acquire open space through gifts and matching grants. Gifts of land with life tenancy are encouraged. Other creative open space preservation techniques are explored and utilized when possible.

e. The District desires to acquire open space from willing sellers. Eminent domain will be used only in strict compliance with the District’s eminent domain policies:

“The District does not want to use eminent domain as a means of purchasing land unless the property concerned is an open space parcel of critical importance or is under some dire emergency, such as immediate destruction of natural resources, or chasing for developmental purposes. Even under such urgent circumstances, however, eminent domain would still be used only as a last resort. The District would continue to pursue alternative solutions to the objective of acquiring land.”

Master Plan, Regional Open Space Study

d. To guide the District’s open space preservation efforts, the District produces a master plan and a regional open space study. The master plan sets forth guidelines for District acquisitions and shows the relative desirability of potential open space land acquisitions. The regional open space study shows the general extent of lands and public access improvements existing and under consideration to complete the District’s greenbelt mission.

Both documents are subject to periodic review and modification by the Board of Directors after public hearings. The regional open space study is subject to periodic technical updates. Both documents are submitted to the counties, cities, and other conservation-oriented local, state, and federal agencies and organizations for review and comment in order to encourage coordination with their planning and policies.

2. Open Space Management: The District follows management policies that ensure proper care of the land, that provide public access appropriate to the nature of the land, and that are consistent with ecological values and public safety.

Policies

Resource Management

a. The District protects and restores the natural diversity and integrity of its resources for their value to the environment, and the public, and provides for the use of the preserves consistent with resource protection.

Public Access and Constraints

b. The District provides public access to the open space lands for low-intensity recreational use. The District’s highest priority is acquiring land to complete the greenbelt and to protect natural resources on open space land. Public access will be provided gradually to ensure that the higher priorities of acquisition and resource protection are maintained.

Developing facilities and managing public use activities while protecting natural resources and providing for public safety may require limits on access to some open space areas. Areas found to be vital wildlife or plant habitats are designated as refuge areas, and access to these areas access will be severely restricted. In addition to protection of sensitive natural resources, factors that may delay or limit access include the carrying capacity of the land, geologic features, restoration efforts, the ability to plan and implement trails, parking, restrooms, mapboards and signs, and identification and mitigation of potential safety hazards.

Because of the District’s commitment to maximum open space preservation efforts, expenditure guidelines will be established for the amount of funding available for recreational improvement projects and restoration activities.

Agricultural, residential, and other limited revenue producing uses of the land may limit public access in certain areas. Where appropriate, access may be provided on a permit basis.
Recreational Use and Improvements

c. Improvements on District lands are generally limited to facilities (i.e. parking areas, trails and patrol roads, restrooms, telephone boxes, and signs) for low-intensity recreational uses. Low-intensity recreation avoids concentration of use, significant alteration of the land, significant impact on the natural resources or on the appreciation of nature.

d. Access for low intensity public recreational use of District open space land will be evaluated for each type of use in terms of four basic criteria:

1. Protection of natural resources;
2. Preservation of the opportunity for tranquil recreation;
3. Avoidance of significant user conflicts;
4. Availability of Board and staff time, funding, and other means to plan and manage the use.

The District will plan for low intensity public access to ensure that these criteria will be met before use is provided. Use will be provided in a manner that does not exceed the criteria, as interpreted by the Board. To protect open space qualities, the District will use a high standard in applying the criteria. Access for hiking is typically unrestricted on District trails and lands. When feasible, visible special use trails and other recreational accommodations for people with disabilities are provided to ensure access to open space. The District will make the land accessible on a wide geographic distribution.

Safeguarding access for hiking and for persons with disabilities will be provided when a decision has been made on which types of use where significant conflicts are evident.

3. Inter-Agency Relationships: The District works with and encourages private and other public agencies to preserve, maintain, and enhance open space.

Policies

Cooperation

The District cooperates with and encourages cooperation between governmental agencies, community organizations, and the public to preserve open space.

The District works cooperatively with other governmental agencies and community organizations to facilitate development and management of recreation facilities and of public use. The District ensures that such development is consistent with protection of important natural values of the open space.