

From: [Jennifer Woodworth](#)
Subject: Responses to Board Questions Re: 7/28/21 Board Agenda
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:14:57 PM
Attachments: [20210714_BOD_minutes_DRAFT_REVISED.pdf](#)

Good afternoon all,

Below please find responses to Board questions regarding the items on the 7/28/21 Board meeting agenda. Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Jen

Director Riffle

In the 7/28/21 Board meeting packet, the staff report for Agenda Item 3 on the top of page 3 shows the Ford F150 replacement at a cost of \$70,000 (line 1). This looks very expensive, and in the same table, line 3, it shows the same vehicle type but at \$45,000 which looks more reasonable. Is the cost for the line 1 item correct?

We are purchasing two different Ford F150. One of these trucks will be for the new Field Resource Specialist. This truck comes standard, as the tools and equipment needed will be added after the fact.

The second F150 is a Police Interceptor F150 with larger brake rotors with venting to dissipate heat and prolong brake life; larger alternators for the increased electronics; solid heavy-duty floor liner in the cab. It is equipped with a more durable seat material, and front seats are designed for Officers belts (they are wider where the seat mold around), the driver seat is an electric seat with multiple seat *adjustments* to fit the ergonomic needs of multiple drivers. It comes standard with the FX4 off-road package, skid plates, better off-road tires, hill descent control, and a locking rear axle.

Director Kishimoto

Last minutes on item 5, bear creek. Didn't we incorporate * increasing the number of stars (weight) of "implementation expertise" from 2 to 3, and also add a sub-bulletin of "Demonstrates commitment to the health and safety of horses" to that category?

Both of these items were included in the second General Manager's recommendation where the Board was providing input but not a part of the "Proposed Concessionaire Agreement Terms," which were approved by the Board in a motion. You are correct that the additional star was being added to the "implementation expertise" category and not the "implementation approach" category. I have corrected this in the attached minutes. Staff also confirmed they incorporated the Board's input on the "Proposed Bear Creek Stables Operational Requirements" and "Concessionaire Selection Criteria" for future action on this project.

Jennifer Woodworth, MMC, CPMC
District Clerk | Assistant to the General Manager
jwoodworth@openspace.org



Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District
330 Distel Circle, Los Altos, CA 94022
P: (650) 691-1200 - F: (650) 691-0485

E-mail correspondence with the Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (and attachments, if any) may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and as such may therefore be subject to public disclosure unless otherwise exempt under the Act.